

### REMARKS

In the last Office Action, claims 2, 7 and 8 were objected to because of an informality in claims 2 and 7. The Examiner kindly suggested an appropriate amendment to overcome the informality.

Claim 2 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Nagashima '547. Claims 7-8 were indicated to be allowable upon correction of the informality noted by the Examiner.

Claims 3-4 and 9-20 were withdrawn from further consideration as being directed to a non-elected species.

In accordance with this response, applicants have elected to accept the allowable claims in order to expedite issuance of their patent. Independent claim 7 has been amended in the manner suggested by the Examiner to overcome the informal objection, thereby placing claim 7 and dependent claim 8 in allowable form. All of the remaining claims have been canceled.

The specification has been amended to update the status of the parent application.

As only allowable claims 7-8 remain pending in the application, the application is now believed to be in allowable form. Accordingly, favorable reconsideration and passage of the application to issue are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

ADAMS & WILKS  
Attorneys for Applicants

By: 

Bruce L. Adams  
Reg. No. 25,386

50 Broadway  
31st Floor  
New York, NY 10004  
(212) 809-3700

MAILING CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: MS NON-FEE AMENDMENT, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date indicated below.

DEBRA BUONINCONTRI

Name



Signature

March 2, 2005

Date